DC\3806858.3

## TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the above-captioned action is related to Civil Case No. 2:14-cv-03650-FMO-CW in the District Court for the Central District of California (the "Original Litigation"). The Original Litigation is assigned to the Honorable Fernando M. Olguin.

In the Original Litigation, filed May 13, 2014, Plaintiff the City of Almaty ("Plaintiff" or "Almaty") seeks to recover assets from certain U.S. Defendants that are being held in the United States and are directly traceable to assets stolen from Almaty by a corrupt former mayor of Almaty, Viktor Khrapunov ("Viktor"), with the assistance of his wife Leila Khrapunov ("Leila"), their children Elvira Khrapunov ("Elvira") and Iliyas Khrapunov ("Iliyas"), and their respective spouses Dmitri Kudryashov ("Dmitri") and Madina Ablyazov ("Madina"). The Original Litigation named as defendants Viktor, Leila, Iliyas, Madina, Elvira, Dmitri, and seven U.S. entities created by them to hold and launder the stolen funds: The Kasan Family Trust, for which Elvira and Dmitri serve as trustees, RPM USA, LLC, RPM-MARO LLC, Maro Design, LLC, 628 Holdings, LLC, Candian International Ltd., and Haute Hue, LLC. Following two amendments to the complaint in the Original Litigation and Plaintiff's ongoing attempt to serve the operative complaint on Viktor, Leila, Iliyas, and Madina, all of whom reside in Switzerland (the "Switzerland Defendants"), on January 16, 2015, the Court in the Original Litigation dismissed the Switzerland Defendants without prejudice. The remaining defendants in the Original Litigation are Elvira and Dmitri – both in their individual capacities and as trustees for the Kasan Family Trust – and the six other U.S. entities. With this new complaint, Almaty seeks to effectuate timely service on the Switzerland Defendants and reclaim the stolen assets they have transferred to and used in the United States in violation of U.S. law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Under Local Rule 83-1.3, actions are related when they appear to "(a)	
arise from the same or a closely related transaction, happening, or event; (b) call	
for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law	
and fact; or (c) for other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if	
heard by different judges." The Original Litigation and the instant matter both	
arise under the same set of transactions and call for determination of similar	
questions of law and fact. Specifically, the Second Amended Complaint in the	
Original Litigation and the complaint in the instant matter are substantively	
identical. Further, substantial duplication of effort by the parties and the courts	
would occur if this matter were not deemed related with the Original Litigation, as	
a second judge would need to become familiar with the facts and background of	
this matter. Additionally, this action raises many questions of law identical to	
those raised in the Original Litigation, and the assignment of the instant action to a	
different judge creates the possibility of inconsistent rulings. Thus, pursuant to	
Local Rule 83-1.3, the Original Litigation and the instant matter should be deemed	
related.	
Dated: April 8, 2015	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
	David J. Schindler Julie R. F. Gerchik
	Kristen M. Tuey
	By: <u>/s/</u> David J. Schindler
	Attorneys for Plaintiff the City of Almaty